

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE HP INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 3:20-cv-01260-SI

~~[PROPOSED]~~ **ORDER APPROVING PLAN
OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT
FUND**

CLASS ACTION

This matter came on for hearing on July 28, 2023 (“Settlement Hearing”), on Lead Plaintiffs’ motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund (“Plan of Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (“Action”) should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court, which also advised Settlement Class Members of the Plan of Allocation, was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

1 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

2 1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the
3 definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated March 2, 2023 (ECF No. 118-1)
4 (“Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in
5 the Stipulation.

6 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation,
7 and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class
8 Members.

9 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs’ motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation and of
10 the date for the hearing on such motion was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be
11 identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion
12 for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal
13 Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice
14 practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities
15 entitled thereto.

16 4. Copies of the Postcard Notice were mailed to over 665,000 potential Settlement Class
17 Members and Nominees. The Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, was posted on the
18 Settlement Website and mailed to Nominees as well as Settlement Class Members upon request. No
19 objections to the proposed Plan of Allocation were received.

20 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the Claims
21 of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to
22 allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due
23 consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

24 6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair
25 and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation
26 proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

27 7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court’s approval of the Plan of Allocation
28 shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

1 8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the
2 Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

3 SO ORDERED this 6th day of September, 2023.
4

5
6 

7 _____
8 The Honorable Susan Illston
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28